



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,336	03/31/2004	Naveen Bali	5693P059	8802
48102 7590 06/05/2008 NETWORK APPLIANCE/BLAKELY 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040				
EXAMINER				
MIRZADEGAN, SAIED S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2144				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/05/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/816,336

Applicant(s)

BALI ET AL.

Examiner

SAEED S. MIRZADEGAN

Art Unit

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SAEED S. MIRZADEGAN.(3) Sue Holloway.(2) Joseph Sosinski.(4) Andy Shaw.

Date of Interview: 05 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8, 14, etc.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tjong, Asai, FC-VI Rev 1.6.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendments if incorporated as discussed appear to be sufficient to overcome the objections to the claims and the specifications. The proposed amendments if incorporated as discussed also appear to overcome the 112 and 101 rejections. Both the applicant's attorney and the examiner have come to a better understanding of their mutual positions regarding the proposed claims as well as the art utilized in claim rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Saeed S Mirzadegan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2144

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required